UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,121	07/03/2003	Robert F. Wilson	21913-301	7183
37374 7590 INSKEEP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP, INC 2281 W. 190TH STREET SUITE 200 TORRANCE, CA 90504			EXAMINER	
			YABUT, DIANE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

inskeepstaff@inskeeplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/613,121	WILSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DIANE YABUT	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 At	ugust 2009					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
are subject to restriction and of	r cicculon requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate				

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 08/28/2009. Claims 1-27 are pending in this application. Claims 7-27 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. <u>Claims 1-6</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Goldfarb et al.** (U.S. Patent No. **6,752,813**).

Goldfarb et al. disclose a method of reinforcing a native valve LF comprising implanting a reinforcing support to at least one valve leaflet, said support positioned away from free edges (near 326, 327) of the valve leaflet, thereby allowing any free edges of the at least one valve leaflet to form a seal with free edges of adjacent leaflets during diastole, attaching a reinforcing support to both a downstream and upstream surfaces of the at least one valve leaflet by sandwiching the at least one valve leaflet between adjacent interlocking or connected support members 370, 372 or 380, 382 on opposite sides of the valve leaflet (Figures 26A-27B; col. 21, line 13 to col. 22, line 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,121 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 08/28/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

4. Applicant argues that the anchors described in Figures 26A-27B of Goldfarb are merely attachment points for sutures, and in Figure 23B the anchors attach separate, adjacent leaflets at their free edges, and that the anchors are not in and of themselves supports for the leaflet. The examiner disagrees. The anchors are considered to be supports, since they hold and reinforce the leaflet tissue and add structure to the leaflet. Goldfarb teaches installing these anchors *away* from the free edges of adjacent leaflets (Figures 23A, 26A-27B), and therefore the terminal ends of the free edges of the leaflets are still "free" to form a seal with each other when attached with sutures (Figure 23B). In other words, the anchors are not installed in between the terminal ends of the free edges of adjacent leaflets, as in other embodiments of Goldfarb (Figure 21A), but rather away from the free edges, and therefore read on the claim limitations.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIANE YABUT whose telephone number is (571)272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,121 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

/Todd E Manahan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734